

STUDENT INFORMATION

SPH Doctoral Dissertation and Dissertation Defense Approval Form

INSTRUCTIONS

II.

Upon completion of submission of the doctoral thesis and subsequent defense, the student's Committee Chair will coordinate completion of the form and all signatures and will submit the form to the SPH Doctoral Program Committee via email to Tracey.Wilson@downstate.edu with subject line "Dissertation Approval Form". Decisions regarding acceptance of the form and accompanying dissertation will be sent to the student and committee chair via email with a copy to the chair of the department. The dissertation must be successfully defended by April 1st and sections 1 though VI of this form must be received, along with the final accepted version of the dissertation, by April 15th in a given academic year in order to graduate that year. It is the student's responsibility to ensure that all documents are completed and sent on time and that receipt of acknowledgement is documented.

Student Name:		

III. DISSERTATION TITLE, ABSTRACT, AND DEFENSE DATE					
Concentration:					
Student ID #:					
Student Name:					

Title:	
Abstract:	
Abstract.	
Date of Dissertation Defense:	

DrPH Dissertation Review Form Last updated 11 10 22



SPH Doctoral Dissertation and Dissertation Defense Approval Form

IV. ASSESSMENT RUBRIC AND OUTCOME

The Doctoral Committee Chair will obtain consensus from the committee on each section of the rubric. Detailed comments are required when a 'not satisfactory' is given in any section. It is expected that any 'unsatisfactory' ratings will result in an outcome of major revisions or a failure in section V, and an additional form will be submitted upon submission of accepted modifications.

	Assessment	Comments
Background: The written product and presentation demonstrate clear knowledge and depth of understanding of the selected public health area. The literature review reflects a rigorous synthesis of the topic area.	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Significance and objectives: The objectives addressed in the written product are clearly linked to the background and identify a focus with clear public health applicability.	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Approach: The design of the project(s) and the methods used to address the primary objectives are clearly articulated and sufficiently described. The approach is adequate to address the study aims.	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Outcomes: Results are clearly, concisely, and accurately conveyed. Results are interpreted in the context of strengths and limitations of the approach.	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Implications of the project(s) for public health practice: The results are described in relation to their implications for public health practice. Additional steps needed for translation of findings to public health practice are considered.	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Written product: The document is clearly written and organized, free from grammatical errors, and with citations, tables, and figures organized per SPH requirements.	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Oral presentation: The oral presentation is clear, organized, and professionally presented. The presentation demonstrates synthesis of doctoral competencies and depth and breadth of knowledge of the chosen topic.	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Synthesis of competencies: DrPH competencies are clearly articulated and integrated in foundational and another which is concentration specific are approved Dissertation Proposal Form. The written documen the selected competencies. Below please add each competencies.	e synthesized. Compe t and presentation sh	tencies should be the same as those included on the
Competency 1 (paste below):	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Competency 2 (paste below):	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Competency 3 (paste below):	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	

DrPH Dissertation Review Form

Last updated 11 10 22



SPH Doctoral Dissertation and Dissertation Defense Approval Form

V. OUTCOME OF REVIEW

It is expected that doctoral students will not defend their dissertation until all committee members feel that the written product is in
acceptable condition and does not require major revisions. When an outcome is for <u>major revisions</u> or a <u>failure,</u> a revised form must be
submitted when the decument is accepted

Outcome of Dissertation Defense and Written Product:	☐ Accepted, no / minor revisions☐ Accepted, pending major revisions☐ Not accepted - Failure
Summary of Major Revisions or Reasons for Failure. A summary is not required when minor revisions are requested.	
VI. STUDENT AND DISSERTATI	ON COMMITTEE SIGNATURES
The student and all committee members cocommittee members, please submit an add	onfirm by signing below that they agree on the content of this review. If there are more than three ditional form with signature.
Student signature and date:	
Committee chair signature and date:	
Committee member signature and date:	
Committee member signature and date:	
VII. SPH DEAN SIGNATURE	
	ation is in its final accepted version and is submitted with the committee-approved document. By Deement with the doctoral committee's recommendation.
SPH Dean signature and date:	
To be completed by the Chair of the SPH Doctors	al Program Committee:
Doctoral Review Outcome:	Approved Not Approved (Summarize required modifications below)
Signature and date:	

DrPH Dissertation Review Form Last updated 11 10 22