A Graphical Technique for Displaying Correlation Matrices
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A recent multilaboratory study to investigate phenotypic and genotypic markers for alcoholism is described. A preliminary investigation of the reliability of electroencephalographic data amassed at six laboratories was undertaken, data from each laboratory could be usefully summarized with sample correlation matrices. A graphical method for depicting these correlation matrices is presented. The mantz–Perelman procedure for assessing the equality of correlation matrices can immediately be incorporated into this graphical technique. The experimental data from the preliminary investigation are illustrated with the graphical method, which readily conveys large amounts of correlational information and reveals meaningful patterns in the electroencephalographic responses.
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1. INTRODUCTION

As part of a large-scale, multilaboratory program designed to investigate phenotypic and genotypic markers for alcoholism, six identical neuroelectrophysiologic laboratories have been established at various locations in the United States (CA, CT, IA, IN, MO, NY). The laboratories were designed to acquire 19 channels of electroencephalography (EEG) data (Scharbrough et al. 1990) in subjects under a variety of event-related brain potential (ERP) paradigms. Each laboratory was constructed with identical hardware, computer systems, and software so that EEG and ERP data from large numbers of subjects could be obtained in a standardized and replicable fashion.

A preliminary study was undertaken to assess the reliability of collecting ERP data from these laboratories (Alexander et al. 1994). A total of 90 young adult males from the six sites (N = 15/­laboratory) were recruited; subjects had no personal or familial psychiatric or neurologic disorders, and were neither alcohol nor drug users. EEG activity was recorded at 19 electrode sites located over all major cortical areas, with additional electrodes placed near the eyes to assess electrooculocor activity. Each subject was presented with two different auditory stimuli binaurally to elicit the P300 ERP component by using a typical procedure. The overall average waveforms computed across the N = 90 subjects for each electrode site are illustrated in Figure 1. The large, positive-going deflection occurring at approximately 300 ms (and labeled at the Pz electrode site) is the P300 from the “target” stimulus to which the subject responded (and did not react to the “standard” stimulus so that no P300 was produced).

The primary analyses were conducted on the P300 ERP component, which is most prominent over the center of the scalp (Fz, Cz, Pz electrodes). This cognitive brain potential is believed to reflect the neural activity associated with attentional and memory mechanisms (Donchin, Karis, Bashore, Coles, and Gratton 1986; Pritchard 1981) and has proven quite useful in the assessment of neurologic and psychiatric disorders (e.g., Pfefferbaum, Ford, White, and Roth 1989; Polich 1991; Polich, Ladish, and Bloom 1990; Polich, Pollock, and Bloom 1994; Pritchard 1986). The ERP data employed here were limited to consideration of P300 waveform morphology from each electrode site for each subject. It is important to determine whether ERP recordings in subjects drawn from the same population (normal young adult males) under identical experimental conditions produce similar component measures at the various laboratories, and if so, to characterize these measures. Although substantial interindividual variability in the amplitudes of P300 waveforms is to be expected, it was hypoth-
esized that correlations in waveform morphology (which are scale-invariant) should be relatively homogeneous. To examine this hypothesis, data from each laboratory were summarized in a $19 \times 19$ correlation matrix that reflected the strength of intersubject associations at the 19 electrode sites. Assessment of whether the correlation matrices derived at each laboratory are equal can be accomplished using a procedure proposed by Larnzt and Perlman (1988); if homogeneity is not rejected, data can be pooled across the six laboratory sites to characterize comprehensively an overall pattern of waveform morphology. In the next section the Larnzt–Perlman (LP) procedure is reviewed, and a graphical procedure for displaying correlation matrices is described. It turns out that the particular structure of the LP test allows for its graphical depiction by this procedure also. In the concluding section the experimental data are reexamined using the methods of Section 2.

2. METHODS

2.1 The Larnzt–Perlman Procedure

Let $R^{(1)}, \ldots, R^{(k)}$ denote the $p \times p$ sample correlation matrices from $k \geq 2$ independent $p$-variate normal distributions with underlying population correlation matrices $P^{(1)}, \ldots, P^{(k)}$, respectively. It is of interest to test the null hypothesis

$$H_0: P^{(1)} = \cdots = P^{(k)} = P$$

(2.1)

where $P = (\rho_{ij})$ is an unspecified nonsingular correlation matrix, against the general alternative of nonequality.
Suppose each \( \mathbf{R}(m) = (r_{ij}(m)) \) is based on a random sample of size \( n_m, 1 \leq m \leq k \). For fixed \( i \) and \( j \) let

\[
z_{ij}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + r_{ij}(m)}{1 - r_{ij}(m)} \right]
\]

(2.2)

which is the Fisher z transformation of the sample correlation coefficient \( r_{ij}(m) \), and let

\[
\mu_{ij}(m) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \mu_{ij}(m)}{1 - \mu_{ij}(m)} \right].
\]

(2.3)

As \( \min\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\} \to \infty \) the random vector \( \mathbf{Z}_{ij} = (z_{ij}(1), \ldots, z_{ij}(k)) \) has an asymptotic \( k \)-variate normal distribution with mean vector \( \mathbf{\mu}_{ij} = (\mu_{ij}(1), \ldots, \mu_{ij}(k)) \) and covariance matrix \( \Delta = \text{diag}((n_1 - 3)^{-1}, \ldots, (n_k - 3)^{-1}) \).

Univariate hypotheses

\[
H_{ij}: \mu_{ij}^{(1)} = \cdots = \mu_{ij}^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq p;
\]

(2.4)

note that \( H_0 \) in (2.1) is the intersection of the \( p(p - 1)/2 \) distinct \( H_{ij} \). The hypothesis \( H_{ij} \) can be tested with the statistic

\[
S_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^{k} (n_m - 3)[z_{ij}(m)]^2 - \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k} (n_m - 3)z_{ij}(m)^2}{\sum_{m=1}^{k} (n_m - 3)};
\]

(2.5)

under \( H_{ij} \), \( S_{ij} \) has an asymptotic \( \chi^2_{k-1} \) distribution, and suitably large values of \( S_{ij} \) would lead to its rejection (Rao 1973). If \( n_1 = \cdots = n_k = n \), then (2.5) simplifies to

\[
S_{ij} = (n - 3) \sum_{m=1}^{k} (z_{ij}(m) - \bar{z}_{ij})^2
\]

(2.6)

where

\[
\bar{z}_{ij} = k^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k} z_{ij}(m).
\]

(2.7)

Larntz and Perlman proposed the statistic

\[
T = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} S_{ij}
\]

(2.8)

for testing \( H_0 \): \( H_0 \) would be rejected at level \( \alpha \) if \( T > \chi^2_{k-1, \epsilon, \alpha} \), where \( \chi^2_{k-1, \epsilon, \alpha} \) is the upper \( \epsilon \) percentage point of the \( \chi^2_{k-1} \) distribution and \( \epsilon(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)^{2p(p-1)} \). From an inequality of Khatri (1967), this procedure yields a possibly conservative level \( \alpha \) test of \( H_0 \). Larntz and Perlman (1988) suggest that the nominal significance levels of tests based on \( T \) should be reliable for small sample sizes and for singular sample correlation matrices, and that the power properties of tests based on \( T \) should be quite satisfactory relative to other tests of the same hypothesis.

3. APPLICATION TO THE EXPERIMENT

As outlined above, six different laboratories recorded EEG activity at 19 electrode sites from a total of \( N = 90 \) normal individuals using the same methods and a typical ERP paradigm. The data were then analyzed such that P300 waveforms yielded amplitude (i.e., component size) measures for each electrode site and subject. A matrix of pairwise correlations of the P300 amplitudes (i.e., the amount of strength of the electrophysiological response) at the 19 sites across the subjects was then calculated for each laboratory. The hypothesis of interest is whether the underlying population correlation matrices for each laboratory are identical. The overall Larntz-Perlman test statistic \( T \) [see (2.8)] is found to be 15.53, \( p = .47 \), for assessing this hypothesis. Thus there appear to be no systematic differences in P300 variation over the scalp at the six different laboratory sites.

Because the null hypothesis of equality of the underlying correlation matrices was not rejected, it is reasonable to take \( \mathbf{R} \), the average of the six sample correlation matrices, as an estimate of the common \( \mathbf{P} \). In Figure 2 are displayed three rows of \( \mathbf{R} \): namely, the average correlations of the P300 amplitudes in relation to the three midline electrode sites, the Fz (frontal), Cz (central), and Pz (posterior) where P300 is largest, with the amplitudes at the other electrode sites. The color figures were constructed by averaging across an arbitrary rectangle using linear interpolation to estimate the interelectrode correlation values. This figure suggests that P300 amplitude: 1) is highly consistent across the laboratory recording sites at the point of maximum amplitude,
2) varies systematically with midline scalp location, and 3) is correlated most strongly with values obtained from the more adjacent electrodes. The present display is consistent with more traditional statistical analyses (Alexander et al. 1994).

Also depicted in Figure 2 are the corresponding measures of dispersion (2.6) for electrode sites Fz, Cz, and Pz. The $S_{ij}$ are minimal for adjacent electrode sites, and tend to increase (but not in a monotonic fashion) as physical distances between the electrode sites increase.

The particular graphical displays illustrated here are not conventional rectangular grids, but were specifically chosen to delineate the clinical context of EEG recording at different electrode sites on the skull. Depicting the spatial relationships of the electrode sites in this manner transparently conveys to the investigators the spatial information inherent in the standard EEG recording paradigm. Of course, it is to be expected that different graphical displays would be adopted in other contexts. The selected technique of color variation was adopted so as to capitalize on one's general visual capability of easily apprehending general color patterns, and hence patterns of large differences. (Scales of gray tones might also be used, although in our experience colors more effectively convey both small as well as large differences.) Broad correlational patterns readily emerge from even cursory inspection of the 19 individual graphical displays, of which the three shown in Figure 2 are representative. The ability of such displays to readily convey large amounts of correlational information suggests that these methods hold considerable promise for the rapid evaluation of correlation matrices.
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